



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/525,449

11/09/2005

Isabelle Poquet

1169-034

3827

20529

7590

04/03/2009

THE NATH LAW GROUP

112 South West Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

MARVICH, MARIA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1633

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/03/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/525,449	<b>Applicant(s)</b> POQUET ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MARIA B. MARVICH	<b>Art Unit</b> 1633	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARIA B. MARVICH. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) William Beaumont. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 12-21 and 31-34.

Identification of prior art discussed: Poquet et al, J Bacteriology, 1998, Vol 180, pages 1904-1912.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants presented claim amendments designed to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 102 and presented arguments to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Maria B Marvich/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633	
--	--